



Traffic Impact & Car Parking Assessment

of the

Proposed Extension to

Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium

in

Hibbard Drive, Port Macquarie

for

Facility Design Group

On behalf of

Port Macquarie Hastings Council

29 July 2013



**Document Control Sheet** 

Document Status	FINAL
Prepared By	Rohan Jayawardene
Reviewed By	Craig Nethery

Prepared by:

#### RoadNet Pty Ltd

Suite 1 Oxley House

133 – 137 Gordon Street

#### PORT MACQUARIE NSW 2444

Tel: 02 6584 4855 Fax: 02 6584 4866

E-mail: port.macquarie@roadnet.net.au

# CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	4
2.	EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	5
3.	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	16
4.	TRAFFIC IMPACT	19
5.	CONCLUSION	21
API	PENDIX A: SITE LAYOUT PLAN	23
API	PENDIX B: TRAFFIC SURVEY DATA	25
API	PENDIX C: SIDRA INTERSECTION ANALYSES	30

# 1. INTRODUCTION

RoadNet Pty Ltd has been engaged by Facility Design Group Architects on behalf of Port Macquarie Hastings Council to provide a Traffic Impact and Car Parking Assessment for the proposed expansion of the Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium in Hibbard Drive, Port Macquarie.

The improvements include additions to the existing building - three basket ball courts, PCYC facilities and amenities - increasing the gross floor area from 3,000m<sup>2</sup> to 6,663m<sup>2</sup> in total. The proposal plans also include a more formal entry to the stadium with a new lounge and entry foyer.

This report has been prepared to accompany the development application of the proposal to Port Macquarie Hastings Council.



Figure 1 shows the locality plan. A site layout plan is included in Appendix A.

Figure 1: Locality Plan

The Port Macquarie Indoor Sports Stadium is part of Council's Stuart Park Sporting Complex and currently comprises three basketball courts, change rooms, reception, canteen and associated amenities. The basketball courts are used for a variety of other sporting activities, including netball, volleyball, multi-sports and mini-ball. The complex hosts a number of major tournaments during the year.

The Port Macquarie Gymnastics Club also has their facilities located adjacent to the stadium and conduct club and competition activities on a regular basis.

Badminton, wheelchair sports and Indoor soccer (Futsal) are the other sports included in the stadium programme.

### 1.1 Background

Previously, in October 2006 RoadNet completed a carparking assessment of the proposed extension to the stadium comprising of:

- 2 x Basketball courts;
- 4 x Squash courts and Meeting rooms; and
- upgrade of existing facilities.

Parking surveys were conducted to assess the parking demand at peak times during the week, midweek competition and on a Saturday, major tournament, which was a Grand Final night for the local competition. From the surveys a parking rate for the site, number of spaces/100m<sup>2</sup> was determined for normal and busy times. The parking requirement for this proposal was estimated at 154 from peak time demand for a major tournament.

As there been no change to the venue since the survey, these rates have been used in this assessment.

## 2. EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS



### 2.1 Site Description

Figure 2-1: Locality Plan (Google Maps)

Job Number: 13029P Report status: FINAL The site is identified as Lot 361, DP 754434 and located at the north-west corner of Hastings Drive/Hibbard Drive intersection and bounded by Hastings River Drive in the south, Hibbard Drive to the east and Woods Street to the west.

There is a public reserve located on the northeast corner of the intersection, with residential housing immediately to the north of the reserve fronting Hibbard Drive. Car sales yards are situated on the southern side of the intersection on Hastings River Drive frontage.

### Hastings River Drive

Hastings River Drive is a major arterial road generally running in an east-west direction, providing connection from the Pacific Highway in the west to the central business district and the eastern side of Port Macquarie. In the area of the proposed development, Hastings River Drive has 2-travel lanes, a parking lane and a cycle lane in each direction separated by a landscaped median, providing a sheltered right turn lane at the intersection with Hibbard Drive. An existing bus stop and adjoining pedestrian refuge across Hastings River Drive is situated to the east of the intersection. There is a concrete footpath running along both sides of Hastings River Drive.

There is a 60km/h speed limit on Hastings River Drive.



Figure 2-2: Hastings River Drive northern side looking east



Figure 2-3: Hastings River Drive southern side looking east

Job Number: 13029P Report status: FINAL

#### Hibbard Drive

Hibbard Drive is a loop road starting at the intersection near the indoor stadium heading in a northerly direction towards the Hastings River then turning in a westerly direction before turning in a southerly direction back towards Hastings River Drive, approximately 700m to the west of the eastern intersection. At the intersection with Hastings River Drive there is a central raised concrete median/pedestrian refuge. The formation width of Hibbard Drive is 13m with kerb and gutter on each edge of the formation.

There is a 50km/h speed limit in Hibbard Drive.



Figure 2-4: On-site parking lane (Zone 2) parallel to Hibbard Drive looking north



Figure 2-5: Hibbard Drive north of site access



Figure 2-6: Hibbard Drive/Hastings River Drive intersection

## 2.2 Existing Access

A 20m wide main access to the complex is located on Hibbard Drive approximately 100m north of the Hastings River Drive intersection.



Figure 2-7: Access from Hibbard Drive

Two other accesses are also located north of Hibbard Drive, providing access to the Stuart Park sports fields.

### 2.3 Parking Demand Survey 2006

The available parking spaces within the vicinity of the stadium were divided into three zones as explained below. Figure 2.8 provides an indication of the location of the zones used in the carparking assessment.

Zone 1: Existing car park – 178 spaces;

 Zone 2: the 12m wide 285m long two-aisles parking strip with three accesses, running parallel to Hibbard Drive – 139 spaces; and

• Zone 3: Hibbard Drive frontage on-road – 120 spaces.

A total of 437 parking spaces are available in the surrounding area, on-site and Hibbard Drive frontage.



Figure 2-8: Carparking Assessment Zone Locations

#### Parking Survey – September 2006

The following describes the parking survey methodology.

Information obtained from the stadium management advised that Wednesday 27th September and Saturday 30th September 2006 would be peak times to conduct parking surveys. An afternoon/night time survey (4.00pm - 9.30pm) was conducted on the Wednesday as it was a Grand Final night for the local competition. A full day survey (10.00am - 8.00pm) was conducted on the Saturday as this was in the middle of a major carnival at the stadium with opening ceremony being conducted on this day. A copy of the tournament schedule is provided in Appendix C to give an indication of the hours of play.

Occupied spaces were counted every 15 minutes for both surveys along with an indication of people in the stadium for the Wednesday count. Full results are shown at Appendix B and a summary is shown in Table 2-1 below.

As can be seen from Table 2-1, the peak parking demand at the site was 62 spaces for the mid-week (Friday) local competition night and 87 spaces (Saturday) for the major carnival.

Time	10.00	10.15	10.30	10.45	11.00	11.15	11.30	11.45	12.00	12.15	12.30	12.45	1.00	1.15	1.30	1.45	2.00
Wed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sat	394	385	378	372	362	364	381	387	377	364	358	363	384	400	405	408	410
Time	2.15	2.30	2.45	3.00	3.15	3.30	3.45	4.00	4.15	4.30	4.45	5.00	5.15	5.30	5.45	6.00	6.15
Wed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	413	398	392	394	394	399	400	392	384	398
Sat	405	398	362	350*	369	389	395	388	387	386	387	382	388	385	384	383	374
Time	6.30	6.45	7.00	7.15	7.30	7.45	8.00	8.15	8.30	8.45	9.00	9.15	9.30				
Fri	396	388	375*	393	386	376	384	391	394	397	410	410	412				
Sat	373	391	393	395	393	426	436	-	-	-	-	-	-				

Table	2-1.	
TUDIC	~	

Spare parking spaces in the study area – Wednesday and Saturday

\*Indicates the maximum parking period.

Table 2-2:	

Average Use for Each Zone throughout the Day.

Zone	Spaces	Wed	Sat	Comment
1	178	22.3%	28.2%	Peak 6.45 - 7.00pm Wed & 2.45 - 3.00pm Sat
2	139	0.16%	0.09%	Peak 5.30 - 6.00pm Wed & 1.45 -2.15pm Sat
3	120	2.05%	1.80%	Peak 5.30 - 5.45pm Wed & 2.45 - 3.00pm Sat





Figure 2-9: Car park survey Fri (mid-week) competition and Saturday (major tournament)

From the peak parking figures the parking rate for the two days are as shown in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3:

Peak parking demand

	Time Period	Peak Parking	GFA m <sup>2</sup>	Rate/100m <sup>2</sup>
Wednesday	7:00-7:15 pm	62	3,005 m <sup>2</sup>	2.1
Saturday	3:00-3:15 pm	87	3,005 m <sup>2</sup>	2.9

### 2.4 Council DCP Requirement

According to the Council parking code DCP 2011, the parking requirement calculation is shown in Table 2-4 to calculate the parking requirement to comply with the stadium activities were considered individually as illustrated in Table 2-4:

- 1. Indoor Basket Ball Courts (3 off) 2358m<sup>2</sup>;
- 2. Gymnasium 647m<sup>2</sup>

	Floor Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Spaces /100m <sup>2</sup>	Spaces Required
Basketball Courts x3	2358	7.5	177
Gymnasium	647	7.5	49
Total			226

#### Table 2-4:

Parking Requirement as per Council DCP Code

### 2.5 Trip Generation

The trip generation of the existing stadium was calculated using the traffic count surveys at the stadium entrance vehicle movements. Table 2-5 summarise the results.

Trip Generation Rates

	Floor Area		In	Out		
	(m²)	Trips	Rate/100m <sup>2</sup>	Trips	Rate/100m <sup>2</sup>	
Wednesday	3,005	116	3.86	71	2.36	
Friday	Ш	91	3.00	45	1.50	
Total		207		116		

These rates will be used to calculate the post-development expected stadium traffic.

#### 2.6 Road Network Operations

Attributes of the road network adjacent to the site are summarized in Table 2-6.

Attributes	Hastings River Drive	Hibbard Drive	
Road Hierarchy	Arterial Road	Access Road	
Directionality	2-way	2-way	
Number of Lanes	4	2	
Central Median	Solid	No	
Speed Limit	60	50	
Jurisdiction	RMS/Council	Council	
Kerb-and-gutter	Yes	Yes	
Dedicated Parking	Yes	Yes	
Formal Footpaths	Yes	No	
Bus Route	Yes	No	

Table 2-6:	Road Network Attributes
10010 2 0.	Noud Network Attributes

The key intersection proximate to the subject site is the Hastings River Drive/ Hibbard Drive give-way controlled intersection. To assist in the quantification of existing intersection operations, classified turning movement surveys were conducted for two afternoon peak periods on Wednesday 15<sup>th</sup> and Friday 17<sup>th</sup> May 2013. Conversations with stadium staff indicated that the busiest days at the stadium are those two days.

Stadium entrance and Hibbard Drive intersection were also surveyed to determine the peak hour traffic generation.

In order to capture the peak hour, counts were undertaken between 3:45 – 6:00pm. Traffic survey information is presented in Appendix B and summarised in Figures 2-10 and 2-11.

The traffic surveys indicated traffic peaks at different times as much as an hour, on Wednesdays and Fridays, at the two intersections. The main reason for this is the through traffic flow on Hastings River Drive which is heavier on Wednesday.

- Hastings River Drive/Hibbard Drive
  - o Wednesday 3:45 to 4:45pm
  - o Friday 4:15 to 5:15pm
- Hibbard Drive/Stadium Access
  - o Wednesday 3:45 to 4:45pm
  - o Friday 5:00 to 6:00pm







Figure 2-11: Hibbard Drive/Stadium access – Traffic Counts

#### 2.7 Intersection Operation

A series of SIDRA Intersection analyses were conducted to quantify the evening peak hour traffic operations at each of the intersections. The SIDRA analyses were based on the traffic count data and assumed the following:

- peak hours deduced from the survey data;
- heavy vehicle percentages as observed; and
- SIDRA default values for all other parameters.

Each intersection was analysed for the peak hour at the intersection and therefore is considered the worst case in terms of traffic flow between intersections.

The results of these analyses are presented in Appendix C and are summarised in Table 2-7.

The degree of saturation for a movement is defined as the ratio of traffic demand to the capacity of the movement. The values given in Table 2-7 relate to the approach or movement with the highest degree of saturation.

Intersection Peak Hour and Scenario	Intersection Degree of	Average Intersection	Critical Movement Performance					
Scenario	Saturation	Delay (sec)	95%'ile Queue - length	Delay	LOS			
Hastings River Drive								
Wednesday (3:45-4:45pm)	38.3%	4.3	Hastings River Dr RT – 13m		В			
Friday (4:15-5:15pm)	25.1%	3.1	Hastings River Dr RT – 8m		А			
Stadium Access								
Wednesday (3:45-4:45pm)	10.0%	5.8	All movements - negligible		А			
Friday ( 5:00-6:00pm)	12.8%	5.6	и и		А			

Table 2-7: Existing Intersection Operation

Table 2-8 is an extract from the SIDRA 5.1 manual, which defines the operational rating in relation to the degree of saturation for priority controlled intersections.

Rating	Level of Service	Degree of Saturation	Average Delay
Excellent	А	x <= 0.6	d ≤ 14.5
Very good	В	0.6 < x <= 0.75	14.5 < d ≤ 28.5
Good	С	0.75 < x <= 0.8	28.5 < d ≤ 42.5
Acceptable	D	0.8 < x <= 0.95	42.5 < d ≤ 56.5
Poor	E	0.95 < x <= 1.0	56.5 < d ≤ 70.5
Very poor	F	1.0 < x	70.5 < d

Table 2-8: Intersection Ratings DOS/Delay (RMS) - SIDRA 5.1

Thus, based on the results of the intersection analysis and the application of the aforementioned ratings, the two intersections currently exhibit "very good to "excellent" operation under existing peak hour conditions.

## 2.8 Pedestrians, Cyclists and Public Transport

Pedestrian facilities are provided at the intersection to cross Hastings River Drive and Hibbard Drive safely.

Marked cycle lanes exist on both sides of Hastings River Drive.

There are no formal pedestrian / cyclist facilities provided in Hibbard Drive.

# 3. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed stadium expansion includes:

- Three new basketball courts;
- PCYC and Police rooms ;
- New entry foyer and lounge areas;
- Activity/program and passive recreational activities; and
- Associated amenities.

A detailed breakdown of the proposed activities and areas are detailed in the site plan produced by Facility Design Group "AO2 Floor Plan, DA Issue" dated 22-06-13 and attached as Appendix B.

### 3.1 Site Layout and Access

The existing main access will be relocated approximately 30m north of the current location on Hibbard Drive. Vehicle crossing will be constructed to comply with Council requirements.

#### Car Drop-off Zone

A drop-off zone is provided for approximately six spaces on Hibbard Drive as shown in the layout plan within easy access to the stadium entrance. The drop-off zone will further offset the parking demand requirement for the proposal.

#### Bus Drop-off and Parking

The main participants of the activities at the stadium are school groups and teams. Therefore it is expected that group arrivals at the venue will be by bus. To meet this need bus and coach drop-off spaces are provided within the car park adjacent to Hibbard Drive as shown in the site plan. The space provided can accommodate five buses.

The bus parking and drop-off area will be signed accordingly to minimise car/bus conflicts.

#### **Disabled Parking**

Six disabled parking spaces are provided within close proximity to the stadium entrance and comply with requirements.

### 3.2 Commercial Vehicle Servicing

As shown in the layout plan, a refuse area is provided to the rear of the site. Refuse vehicle manoeuvring has been checked and complies with Council standards. As the refuse collection is undertaken in early

morning periods, refuse truck movements through the car parking area is not expected to create any safety issue.

## 3.3 Internal Layout

Pedestrian paths and crossing points are provided throughout the car park to safely direct pedestrians to the stadium entrance. The layout and provision of on-site queuing, car parking and internal manoeuvring are consistent with Council and AS/NZS 2890.1 requirements.

## 3.4 Car Parking

The carparking requirement for this development has been assessed and recommended to council based on the previous 2006 assessment completed by RoadNet for the site. At the time this assessment was accepted by Port Macquarie Hastings Council as a reasonable effort in determining the parking demand for this development.

Parking requirement for the proposed expansion was calculated in two ways (Table 3-1):

- 1. On-site observations; and
- 2. Parking codes as in Port Macquarie Hastings Council's DCP 2011

	Parking Rat	te/100m <sup>2</sup>	GF	A m <sup>2</sup>	Parking Spaces			
	Observed	DCP	Existing	Extension	Demand	Code		
Wednesday	2.1	7.5	3,005	3,658	140	500		
Saturday	2.9	7.5	3,005	3,658	193	500		

Table 3-1: Parking requirement

As can be seen from Table 3-1, where parking should be determined strictly in accordance with the PM Parking Code the number of spaces required is 500. However as was shown from the parking survey (Section 2-4), the on-site demand for local competition and major tournaments is 62 and 87 spaces respectively which corresponds to the parking rates shown above.

The proposed carparking layout will provide approximately 161 car spaces plus 6 disabled spaces and 3 shared areas along with parking / set down for 5 buses / coaches. A drop off zone is also being provided on the Hibbard Drive frontage which will provide storage for up to 6 cars.

As stated the proposal will provide a total of 167 spaces, this is a short fall of 26 spaces in relation to the Saturday peak requirement. Originally there was 387 available spaces including overflow parking, however as required the bus set down / parking area be located off street which resulted in a reduction of the available overflow parking.

There are approximately 130 overflow parking spaces available to the north of the stadium as overflow parking being part of the Stuart Park Sporting Complex. On street parking on the Hibbard Drive frontage of the stadium will be removed to cater for the proposed drop off zone. There will still be on street parking available north of the proposed northern access as overflow parking. Parking in this location for major events should be discouraged due to the existing residences located on the eastern side of Hibbard Drive.

There is also limited overflow parking (up to 15 spaces) available on Hastings River Drive. Parking here for major events should also be discouraged as this may impact the traffic flows on Hastings River Drive in peak periods with respect to pedestrians crossing the road and alighting from cars.

The above proposed on-site and existing off and on street parking overflow parking (312 spaces, plus bus parking) combined with car and bus drop-off zones more than meets the parking demand of the stadium upgrade being a minimum of 140 and a maximum of 193.

## 3.5 Trip Generation

Table 3-2:

Peak hour trip generation rates were calculated from the traffic surveys using the in and out vehicle movements at the entrance as shown in Table 3-2.

Applying the rates as per existing the expanded stadium is expected to generate approximately 485 and 353 trips during the peak hour on a Wednesday and a Friday respectively.

Trip Generation Rates (from observations)

		•		•		
	Total	In		Out		Total
	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Rate/100m <sup>2</sup>	Trips	Rate/100m <sup>2</sup>	Trips	Trips
Wednesday	6,663	3.86	258	2.36	158	416
Friday	Ш	3.00	200	1.50	100	300

Hibbard Drive is fully developed with no extra growth required. Hastings River Drive is an arterial road with possible traffic growth for future consideration of any upgrades of the Hastings River Drive / Hibbard Drive

## 3.6 Trip distribution

intersection.

The distribution of development related traffic has been estimated based on the directional split inherent in the traffic surveys. The directional distribution adopted for the development generated traffic is shown in Figures 3-1 and 3-2 for Wednesday and Friday evening peak hours.

In order to match the flows between the intersections, the critical intersection for this study is the major road intersection of Hastings River Drive/Hibbard Drive and hence the peak period and flow calculations and trip distribution were undertaken for the peak period of that intersection.



\*Numbers in red = development generated traffic





Figure 3-2:

\*Numbers in red = development generated traffic

2: Directional Distribution – Friday Peak Hour 5:00-6:00PM

# 4. TRAFFIC IMPACT

The likely impact of the proposed development on traffic operations on the surrounding road network has been assessed based on evening peak hour movements forecast for the opening year traffic conditions for two week days, a Wednesday and a Friday for the following locations:

- Hibbard Drive/Stadium access intersection,
- Hastings River Drive/Hibbard Drive intersection.

Note. As noted earlier peak hours at the two intersections differs for Wednesday and Friday and indicates the worst case scenario.

#### 4.1 Intersection Operations

A series of SIDRA intersection analyses were conducted in order to quantify post-development traffic conditions at the two intersections. These analyses were based on the same parameters listed earlier with the results summarised in Table 4-1 and presented in Appendix C.

Intersection Peak Hour and Scenario	Intersection Degree of	Average Intersection	Critical Movement Performa	ance			
Scenario	Saturation	Delay (sec)	95%'ile Queue - length	LOS			
Hastings River Drive							
Wednesday (3:45 - 4:45pm)	65.0%	6.4	Hastings River Dr RT – 31m	В			
Friday (4:15 - 5:15pm)							
	47.6%	4.6	Hastings River Dr RT – 19m	В			
Stadium Access							
Wednesday (3:45 - 4:45pm)	20.4%	7.3	All movements - negligible	А			
Friday (5:00 - 6:00pm)							
	17.9%	6.5	и и	А			

#### Table 4-1:Future Intersection Operations (Post-Development)

As can be seen from the above results the intersections are expected to operate well under the proposed development generated traffic with LOS A/B.

The expected increases in peak hour traffic volumes on Hastings River Drive and Hibbard Drive are well within the notional capacities of the two roads and not expected to cause adverse effects.

#### Hastings River Drive Intersection

Queuing requirements on the Hastings River Drive west-bound right turn lane is expected to be adequate to cope with the increased right turners from the stadium expansion.

However, the worst traffic movement at this intersection is the Hibbard Drive right turn. This movement which is opposed by two lanes of traffic on Hastings River Drive in both directions but separated by the 3m

wide median assists drivers to complete the manoeuvre in two stages. Additionally, the number expected to be completing this manoeuvre is only 19 and can also utilise the right turn facility at Mumford/Kemp Street 190m away to execute a u-turn to head west.

This traffic assessment has been conducted to gauge the impacts of traffic generated by the stadium expansion proposal. The report has not considered growth of traffic on Hastings River Drive, an arterial road and major corridor which is an arterial road and has not assessed future requirements for the Hastings River Drive/Hibbard Drive intersection. Hibbard Drive on the other hand, is a fully developed residential street and hence will not have any growth.

### 4.2 Pedestrians, Cyclists and Public Transport

The proposed development is not expected to have significant adverse effects on pedestrian, cyclists or public transport operation.

As part of the development proposal a formal footpath will provide connection between Hastings River Drive and the Indoor Stadium.

# 5. CONCLUSION

The impact of the proposed expansion of the Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium has been assessed. The main points to note from this assessment are:

- 1. The proposed expansion of the indoor stadium comprises of three basketball courts, entry foyer and lounges, and PCYC facilities plus amenities.
- 2. The proposed expansion is expected to increase the existing GFA of 3,005m<sup>2</sup> by 3,658m<sup>2</sup>.
- 3. The main vehicular access to the stadium will be relocated to a position 20m further north of Hibbard Drive.
- 4. The available sight distance at each of the site accesses is consistent with the requirements of Australian Standards AS 2890.1 2004 Parking Facilities Part 1: Off street car parking and AS 2890.2: Off street commercial vehicle facilities.
- 5. To better estimate the on-site parking demand surveys were undertaken in 2006 during a weekday event and a Saturday major tournament, from which a rate/100m<sup>2</sup> was calculated.
- 6. The on-site parking provision of 167 spaces and 130 overflow parking spaces is expected to meet the on-site demand for both weekday and major tournament events. The parking demand was assessed on a previous 2006 Carparking Assessment completed by RoadNet for the site.
- 7. The layout and design of on-site car parking is consistent with the intent of AS/NZS 2890.
- 8. Traffic turning movement surveys were conducted at the two key intersections, Hastings River Drive/Hibbard Drive and Hibbard Drive/Stadium access, to assess the impact of development generated traffic.

- 9. Traffic generation for the existing stadium was worked out from the turn movements at the access intersection from the May 2013 traffic surveys. The expected peak hour development traffic generation on Wednesday and Friday is 485 and 353 respectively.
- 10. The proposed expansion is not expected to have a significant adverse impact on the traffic operations of the two intersections.
- 11. The proposed expansion is not expected to have a significant adverse impact on the adjacent road network.
- 12. The proposed expansion is not expected to have adverse impacts on pedestrians, cyclists and public transport.

# APPENDIX A: SITE LAYOUT PLAN



APPENDIX B: TRAFFIC SURVEY DATA

Time	1	H	2	Н	3	Η	4	H	5	H	6	Н	7	Η	8	Η	1/4h totals	Hrly Total	P1	P2	P3	1/4h totals
3:45-4:00	217	5	42	1	214	0	20	1	37	0	3	0	2	0	4	0	546	Incl. Hvy	10	1	0	11
4:00-4:15	201	5	54	0	180	7	8	0	36	0	5	0	2	0	4	0	502	1048	3	0	0	3
4:15-4:30	207	6	48	0	196	12	0	0	30	0	2	0	5	0	6	0	512	1560	4	0	0	4
4:30-4:45	184	1	34	2	175	7	4	0	14	0	1	0	6	0	6	0	434	1994	6	1	0	7
4:45-5:00	176	0	19	0	165	11	7	0	20	0	0	0	5	0	9	0	412	1860	7	0	0	7
5:00-5:15	188	2	30	0	188	2	2	0	25	0	9	0	0	0	4	0	450	1808	5	5	0	10
5:15-5:30	173	1	38	0	200	4	5	0	53	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	481	1777	5	10	0	15
5:30-5:45	113	1	18	0	146	3	15	0	39	0	4	0	3	0	7	0	349	1692	8	9	0	17
5:45-6:00	138	1	35	0	120	2	7	0	10	0	1	0	3	0	4	0	321	1601	3	5	0	8
6:00-6:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1151	0	0	0	0
6:15-6:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	670	0	0	0	0
6:30-6:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	321	0	0	0	0
Total	1597	22	318	3	1584	48	68	1	264	0	28	0	28	0	46	0	Max Hr	1994	51	31	0	82
			Overall p	beak	hour:		3:45-4:00	to 4:	30-4:45									1				
Peak total	809	17	178	3	765	26	32	1	117	0	11	0	15	0	20	0	1994		23	2	0	25
Light+HV	826		181		791		33		117		11		15		20			1				





Time	1	Η	2	Н	3	Η	4	H	5	H	6	H	7	Н	8	H	1/4h totals	Hrly Total	P1	P2	P3	1/4h totals
3:45-4:00	215	8	34	0	151	3	4	0	22	0	3	0	9	0	0	0	449	Incl. Hvy	3	2	0	5
4:00-4:15	187	3	46	0	196	4	6	0	17	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	466	915	0	1	0	1
4:15-4:30	168	2	42	0	171	6	8	0	10	0	1	0	5	0	1	0	414	1329	4	2	0	6
4:30-4:45	193	6	21	0	123	6	9	0	15	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	377	1706	1	4	0	5
4:45-5:00	180	3	32	0	170	1	4	0	9	1	2	0	6	0	1	0	409	1666	4	5	0	9
5:00-5:15	222	0	39	0	198	4	11	0	47	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	524	1724	0	6	0	6
5:15-5:30	137	1	49	0	179	2	5	0	21	0	3	0	8	0	0	0	405	1715	2	0	0	2
5:30-5:45	133	5	56	0	145	3	5	0	28	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	381	1719	0	2	0	2
5:45-6:00	107	0	55	0	109	2	8	0	16	0	3	0	8	0	1	0	309	1619	0	3	0	3
6:00-6:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1095	0	0	0	0
6:15-6:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	690	0	0	0	0
6:30-6:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	309	0	0	0	0
Total	1542	28	374	0	1442	31	60	0	185	-	16	0	51	0	4	0	Max Hr	1724	14	25	0	39
			Overall p	eak	hour:		4:15-4:30	to 5:	00-5:15									3				
Peak total	763	11	134	0	662	17	32	0	81	1	4	0	17	0	2	0	1724	] [	9	17	0	26
Light+HV	774		134		679		32		82		4		17		2		-	1.				





Time	1	Η	2	Η	3	Η	4	Η	5	Н	6	Н	1/4h totals	Hrly Total	P1	P2	P3	1/4h totals
3:45-4:00	8	0	0	0	10	0	43	0	1	0	22	0	84	Incl. Hvy	0	4	1	5
4:00-4:15	9	0	0	0	20	0	36	0	0	0	24	0	89	173	0	5	2	7
4:15-4:30	11	0	0	0	18	0	22	0	0	0	13	0	64	237	0	7	0	7
4:30-4:45	2	0	0	0	13	0	15	0	0	0	11	0	41	278	4	2	2	8
4:45-5:00	8	0	0	0	9	0	15	0	0	0	10	0	42	236	0	4	0	4
5:00-5:15	13	0	0	0	5	0	20	1	0	0	14	0	53	200	3	6	0	9
5:15-5:30	12	0	0	0	18	0	20	0	0	0	37	0	87	223	6	3	9	18
5:30-5:45	9	0	0	0	12	0	11	0	1	0	24	0	57	239	1	8	1	10
5:45-6:00	3	0	1	0	13	0	27	0	0	0	6	0	50	247	1	2	0	3
6:00-6:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	194	0	0	0	0
6:15-6:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107	0	0	0	0
6:30-6:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
Total	75	0	1	0	118	0	209	1	2	0	161	0	Max Hr	278	15	41	15	71
			Overall p	eak	hour:		3:45-4:00	to 4:	30-4:45					1				
Peak total	30	0	0	0	61	0	116	0	1	0	70	0	278		4	18	5	27
Light+HV	30		0		61		116		1		70	-		'				











APPENDIX C: SIDRA INTERSECTION ANALYSES

#### Site: HRD-Hibbard Dr Exist Wed\_PM Peak

Hastings River/Hibbard Drive Intersection Exist Wed. PM Peak (3:45-4:45) TC: 15-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movem	nent Per	formance - V	ehicles								
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	нv %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h
East: Ha	astings R	iver Dr WB	10		300		VEI I			perven	KIITI
5	т	869	2.1	0.226	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	60.0
6	R	206	1.6	0.383	15.2	LOS B	1.8	13.0	0.73	0.97	41.2
Approad	ch	1076	2.0	0.383	2.9	NA	1.8	13.0	0.14	0.19	55.3
North: H	libbard D	rive SB									
7	L	123	0.0	0.194	11.5	LOS A	0.7	4.7	0.61	0.86	40.3
9	R	12	0.0	0.203	55.3	LOS D	0.4	3.0	0.95	0.99	22.3
Approad	ch	135	0.0	0.203	15.3	LOS B	0.7	4.7	0.64	0.87	37.7
West: H	lastings F	River Drive EB									
10	L	35	3.0	0.247	7.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.12	48.6
11	т	833	3.2	0.247	3.7	LOS A	3.7	26.5	0.40	0.00	52.4
12	R	21	0.0	0.247	17.8	LOS B	3.7	26.5	0.84	1.08	43.5
Approac	ch	888	3.1	0.247	4.2	NA	3.7	26.5	0.39	0.07	52.0
All Vehic	cles	2099	2.3	0.383	4.3	NA	3.7	26.5	0.28	0.18	52.3

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Thursday, 13 June 2013 2:40:36 PM	Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd	SIDRA
SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093	www.sidrasolutions.com	
Project: \\sbserver\jobs\2013Jobs\Port Macquarle Indoor	Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA	INTERSECTION
Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium sig		

8000293, ROADNET PTY LTD, SINGLE

#### Site: HRD-Hibbard Dr Exist Fri\_PM Peak

Hastings River/Hibbard Drive Intersection Exist Friday PM Peak (4:15-5:15) TC: 17-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movem	ient Per	formance - V	ehicles								
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow	HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back ( Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec	C. The	veh	m	440000	per veh	km/h
East: Ha	astings R	iver Dr WB									
5	т	824	2.6	0.215	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	60.0
6	R	159	0.0	0.251	12.6	LOS A	1.0	7.3	0.63	0.88	43.5
Approad	ch	983	2.2	0.251	2.0	NA	1.0	7.3	0.10	0.14	56.6
North: H	libbard D	rive SB									
7	L	86	0.0	0.118	10.4	LOS A	0.4	2.8	0.53	0.82	41.1
9	R	4	0.0	0.051	35.5	LOS C	0.1	0.7	0.91	0.97	27.9
Approad	ch	91	0.0	0.118	11.6	LOS A	0.4	2.8	0.55	0.82	40.2
West: H	lastings F	River Drive EB									
10	L	34	0.0	0.197	7.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.10	48.6
11	т	715	2.5	0.197	3.3	LOS A	2.8	19.8	0.41	0.00	52.8
12	R	2	0.0	0.197	16.4	LOS B	2.8	19.8	0.79	1.09	44.7
Approac	ch	751	2.4	0.197	3.6	NA	2.8	19.8	0.39	0.05	52.6
All Vehic	cles	1824	2.2	0.251	3.1	NA	2.8	19.8	0.24	0.14	53.8

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Thursday, 13 June 2013 2:41:00 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093 Project: Visberverijobs/2013.Jobs/Port Macquarie Indoor VPort Macquarie Indoor Stadium.sip	Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA	SIDRA INTERSECTION
8000293, ROADNET PTY LTD, SINGLE		

#### Site: HRD-Hibbard Dr Post-Dev Wed\_PM Peak

Hastings River/Hibbard Drive Intersection Exist Wed. PM Peak (3:45-4:45) TC: 15-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
East: Ha	astings R	iver Dr WB											
5	т	869	2.1	0.226	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	60.0		
6	R	338	1.6	0.650	19.5	LOS B	4.4	31.0	0.83	1.16	38.0		
Approac	sh	1207	2.0	0.650	5.5	NA	4.4	31.0	0.23	0.33	51.9		
North: H	libbard D	rive SB											
7	L	206	0.0	0.329	12.6	LOS A	1.4	9.6	0.66	0.93	39.5		
9	R	19	0.0	0.427	88.2	LOS F	1.0	6.7	0.97	1.02	16.7		
Approac	sh	225	0.0	0.427	18.9	LOS B	1.4	9.6	0.68	0.94	35.4		
West: H	astings F	River Drive EB											
10	L	59	3.0	0.254	7.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.07	48.6		
11	т	833	3.2	0.254	3.9	LOSA	3.9	27.8	0.41	0.00	52.2		
12	R	21	0.0	0.254	17.9	LOS B	3.9	27.8	0.84	1.08	43.4		
Approach 913 3.1		3.1	0.254	4.4	NA	3.9	27.8	0.40	0.09	51.7			
All Vehic	cles	2345	2.2	0.650	6.4	NA	4.4	31.0	0.34	0.29	49.6		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Thursday, 13 June 2013 2:49:45 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093 Project: \\sbserverijobs\2013Jobs\Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA \Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium.sip 8000293, ROADNET PTY LTD, SINGLE



#### Site: HRD-Hibbard Dr Post-Dev Fri\_PM Peak

Hastings River/Hibbard Drive Intersection Exist Friday PM Peak (4:15-5:15) TC: 17-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back ( Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
East: Ha	astings R	iver Dr WB			200		VCI1			perven	KIITI		
5	т	824	2.6	0.215	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	60.0		
6	R	289	0.0	0.476	15.0	LOS B	2.7	18.9	0.72	1.01	41.4		
Approac	h	1114	1.9	0.476	3.9	NA	2.7	18.9	0.19	0.26	53.9		
North: H	libbard D	rive SB											
7	L	156	0.0	0.217	10.8	LOSA	0.8	5.4	0.56	0.84	40.8		
9	R	7	0.0	0.113	44.8	LOS D	0.2	1.6	0.93	0.97	24.9		
Approac	h	163	0.0	0.217	12.3	LOS A	0.8	5.4	0.58	0.85	39.7		
West: H	astings F	River Drive EB											
10	L	64	0.0	0.205	7.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.03	48.6		
11	т	715	2.5	0.205	3.5	LOSA	2.9	20.8	0.43	0.00	52.5		
12	R	2	0.0	0.205	16.5	LOS B	2.9	20.8	0.79	1.08	44.6		
Approac	Approach 781 2.3		2.3	0.205	3.9	NA	2.9	20.8	0.39	0.09	52.1		
All Vehic	cles	2058	1.9	0.476	4.6	NA	2.9	20.8	0.30	0.24	51.8		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Thursday, 13 June 2013 2:54:20 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093 Project: \\sbserverijobs\2013\005\Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA \Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium.sip 8000293, ROADNET PTY LTD, SINGLE

#### Site: Hibbard Dr-Stadium Access Exist Wed\_PM Peak

Hibbard Dr/Stadium Access Intersection Exist Wednesday PM Peak (3:45-4:45) TC - 15-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
South: H	Hibbard D	rive NB											
1 2	L T	122 64	0.0 0.0	0.099 0.099	8.2 0.0	LOS A LOS A	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.00	0.77 0.00	49.0 60.0		
Approac	sh	186	0.0	0.099	5.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.50	52.3		
North: H	libbard D	rive SB											
8	т	32	0.0	0.017	0.5	LOS A	0.1	0.7	0.29	0.00	54.6		
9	R	1	0.0	0.017	8.8	LOS A	0.1	0.7	0.29	0.92	49.1		
Approac		33	0.0	0.017	0.8	NA	0.1	0.7	0.29	0.03	54.4		
West: S	tadium A	ccess											
10	L	1	0.0	0.001	8.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.15	0.61	48.3		
12	R	74	0.0	0.058	8.9	LOS A	0.2	1.4	0.23	0.66	47.7		
Approach		75	0.0	0.058	8.9	LOSA	0.2	1.4	0.23	0.66	47.7		
All Vehicles		294	0.0	0.099	5.8	NA	0.2	1.4	0.09	0.49	51.3		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Thursday, 13 June 2013 3:35:11 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093 Project: \\sbserver\jobs\2013Jobs\Port Macquarle Indoor Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA \Port Macquarle Indoor Stadium SIDRA \Port Macquarle Indoor Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA



#### Site: Hibbard Dr-Stadium Access Exist Fri\_PM Peak

Hibbard Dr/Stadium Access Intersection Exist Friday Exist PM Peak (5:00-6:00) TC - 15-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	нv %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
South: H	libbard D		10	with the second s	366		Ven			perven	KIIVII		
1	L T	154 87	0.0 0.0	0.128	8.2 0.0	LOS A LOS A	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.00	0.77	49.0 60.0		
Approad	sh	241	0.0	0.128	5.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.49	52.5		
North: H	libbard D	rive SB											
8	т	40	0.0	0.022	0.7	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.34	0.00	53.8		
9	R	2	0.0	0.022	9.0	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.34	0.90	49.1		
Approac	sh	42	0.0	0.022	1.2	NA	0.1	0.9	0.34	0.04	53.5		
West: S	tadium A	coess											
10	L	1	0.0	0.001	8.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.18	0.60	48.2		
12	R	79	0.0	0.065	9.1	LOS A	0.2	1.6	0.27	0.67	47.6		
Approac	sh	80	0.0	0.065	9.1	LOSA	0.2	1.6	0.26	0.67	47.6		
All Vehicles		363	0.0	0.128	5.6	NA	0.2	1.6	0.10	0.48	51.4		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Friday, 14 June 2013 8:09:37 AM

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcellk and Associates Pty Ltd



SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093 www.sidrasolutions.com Project: \\sbserver\jobs\2013Jobs\Port Macquarle Indoor Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA \Port Macquarle Indoor Stadium.sip 8000293, ROADNET PTY LTD, SINGLE

#### Site: Hibbard Dr-Stadium Access Post-Dev Wed\_PM Peak

Hibbard Dr/Stadium Access Intersection Exist Wednesday PM Peak (3:45-4:45) TC - 15-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	нv %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
South: H	libbard D	rive NB											
1	L T	317 64	0.0 0.0	0.204 0.204	8.2 0.0	LOS A LOS A	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.71 0.00	49.0 60.0		
Approac	sh	381	0.0	0.204	6.8	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.59	50.5		
North: H	libbard D	rive SB											
8	т	32	0.0	0.017	1.3	LOS A	0.1	0.8	0.44	0.00	52.3		
9	R	1	0.0	0.017	9.6	LOS A	0.1	0.8	0.44	0.89	49.2		
Approac	sh	33	0.0	0.017	1.6	NA	0.1	0.8	0.44	0.03	52.2		
West: S	tadium A	ccess											
10	L	1	0.0	0.001	8.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.21	0.60	48.0		
12	R	194	0.0	0.166	9.4	LOS A	0.6	4.3	0.32	0.69	47.4		
Approach		195	0.0	0.166	9.4	LOSA	0.6	4.3	0.32	0.69	47.4		
All Vehicles		608	0.0	0.204	7.3	NA	0.6	4.3	0.13	0.59	49.6		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Friday, 14 June 2013 7:39:24 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd Project: \\sbserverijobs\2013Jobs\Port Macquarle Indoor Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA \Port Macquarle Indoor Stadium.sip



8000293, ROADNET PTY LTD, SINGLE

#### Site: Hibbard Dr-Stadium Access Post-Dev Fri\_PM Peak

Hibbard Dr/Stadium Access Intersection Post-Development Friday PM Peak (4:15-5:15pm) TC - 15-05-13 Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Tum	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h		
South: H	libbard D	Drive NB											
1	L	248	0.0	0.179	8.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.74	49.0		
2	T	87	0.0	0.179	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	60.0		
Approac	sh	336	0.0	0.179	6.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	51.4		
North: H	libbard D	rive SB											
8	т	40	0.0	0.022	1.1	LOSA	0.1	1.0	0.41	0.00	52.7		
9	R	2	0.0	0.022	9.4	LOSA	0.1	1.0	0.41	0.89	49.1		
Approac	sh	42	0.0	0.022	1.5	NA	0.1	1.0	0.41	0.04	52.5		
West: S	tadium A	ccess											
10	L	1	0.0	0.001	8.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.21	0.60	48.0		
12	R	123	0.0	0.105	9.3	LOSA	0.4	2.6	0.31	0.68	47.4		
Approac	sh	124	0.0	0.105	9.3	LOS A	0.4	2.6	0.31	0.68	47.4		
All Vehicles		502	0.0	0.179	6.5	NA	0.4	2.6	0.11	0.54	50.5		

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Processed: Friday, 14 June 2013 7:46:52 AM Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093 www.sidrasolutions.com Project: \\sbserverijobs\2013Jobs\Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium TIA - 13029P\TRAFFIC MODELLING\SIDRA \Port Macquarie Indoor Stadium.sip 8000293, ROADNET PTY LTD, SINGLE

